Direct Tunneling Delay Time Measurement in an Optical Lattice
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We report on the measurement of the time required for a wave packet to tunnel through the potential barriers of an optical lattice. The experiment is carried out by loading adiabatically a Bose-Einstein condensate into a 1D optical lattice. A sudden displacement of the lattice by a few tens of nanometers excites the micromotion of the dipole mode. We then directly observe in momentum space the splitting of the wave packet at the turning points and measure the delay between the reflected and the tunnelled packets for various initial displacements. Using this atomic beam splitter twice, we realize a chain of coherent micron-size Mach-Zehnder interferometers at the exit of which we get essentially a wave packet with a negative momentum, a result opposite to the prediction of classical physics.
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The question of the time required for a particle to tunnel through a barrier was addressed in the early days of quantum mechanics [1]. The first quantitative study providing a finite tunneling time dates back to 1962 [2]. The difficulty to define such a time lies in the fact that time is not in general associated with an ordinary observable in quantum mechanics [3]. As a result there is not a unique definition of tunneling time (see the discussion in Ref. [4]).

Experimentally, this question was addressed in condensed matter, attosecond physics, and optics. In condensed matter physics, it has been investigated when performing time measurements in electronic systems on a subnanosecond time scale. Such studies have been carried out for instance in GaAs/GaAlAs heterostructures [5] and in biased Josephson junctions [6].

More recently, attosecond tunneling spectroscopy shed light on how electrons quit their atomic binding potential under the action of a strong optical field. Such experiments constitute a real-time observation of light-induced electron tunneling on a time scale of a few hundreds of attoseconds [7,8], and even a few tens of attoseconds with angular streaking techniques [9].

The tunnel problem in quantum mechanics can also be mapped on a wide number of situations in electromagnetism including frustrated total internal reflection [10,11], transmission in 1D photonic band gaps [12,13], or transmission through a waveguide beyond the cutoff [14]. The corresponding time scales are nanoseconds for microwaves and femtoseconds in the visible range. These experiments brought to the fore the question of superluminal motions.

The tunnel effect plays a key role in the dynamics of degenerate gases trapped in optical lattices [15,16]. The tunneling rate \( J \) can be directly measured \textit{in situ} [17] and engineered by an appropriate shaking of the periodic potential to design effective Hamiltonians and produce synthetic gauge fields [18–20]. The interplay between the tunneling rate and interactions is at the heart of the many-body physics investigated with cold atoms in optical lattices [21–24], including in the presence of dissipation [25]. However, to date, no direct tunneling time measurement has been performed in this domain.

In this Letter, we propose a direct measurement of the tunneling time of massive particles by studying the out-of-equilibrium dynamics of a chain of coupled Bose-Einstein condensates (BECs) in an optical lattice. In contrast with the methods explored in other fields, we choose parameters so that roughly half the wave packet tunnels through the barrier at the first turning point. Using both the quasi-isochronism of oscillations in the lattice and the packet that has not tunneled as a reference, we infer precisely the duration of the tunneling process, which could be up to 27 \( \mu \)s, i.e., 1/4 of the oscillation period.

Our experiment starts with a rubidium-87 BEC produced in a hybrid trap [26]. In short, \( 2 \times 10^9 \) atoms from a magneto-optical trap are loaded into a magnetic quadrupole whose gradient is ramped up to 1.8 T/m. Microwave evaporation is then performed over 15 s to decrease the temperature from 300 to 30 \( \mu \)K. Atoms are subsequently transferred to a crossed dipole trap, formed by two 1064 nm laser beams, which are respectively aligned along a horizontal axis and at 35° from the vertical, while maintaining a magnetic gradient that approximately compensates for the gravity. The evaporation in this latter trap yields a pure BEC of \( 10^5 \) atoms in the low field seeker state \( F = 1, m_F = -1 \).

We then superimpose to the horizontal guide a 1D optical lattice made of two counter-propagating laser beams at 1064 nm (lattice spacing \( d = 532 \) nm). The lattice is switched on adiabatically in 11 ms using an \( S \)-shape time variation of the intensity of the beams [27]. The relative phase \( \phi \) of the two laser beams is controlled using two phase-locked synthesizers that drive the acousto-optic modulators placed on each beam before they enter the vacuum cell. The 1D potential experienced by the atoms reads...
\[ V(x) = \frac{1}{2} m \omega_{\text{ext}}^2 x^2 - s E_L \cos^2 \left( \frac{\pi x}{d} + \theta(t) \right), \]  

where the first term accounts for the weak external potential in which the BEC is produced. The external angular frequency \( \omega_{\text{ext}} \) results from the combined trapping of the dipole trap and the magnetic gradient (\( \omega_{\text{ext}} = 2 \pi \times 25 \) Hz). The second term accounts for the lattice potential with a tunable time-dependent phase \( \theta(t) \). \( E_L = \hbar^2 / (2 m d^2) \) is the lattice characteristic energy and \( s \) is a dimensionless parameter characterizing the lattice depth.

The lattice can be suddenly spatially shifted by a phase \( \theta(0^+) = \theta_0 \) (\( \theta_0 = 90^\circ \) corresponds to a shift of half a period). This phase jump is performed on a short time scale (a few nanoseconds) compared to all other time scales in the system. This shift triggers an oscillation of the BEC in the lattice. We analyze this oscillation by suddenly switching off all trapping potentials followed by a \( \hbar \text{TOF} = 25 \) ms time of flight. This provides a measurement of the in-trap atomic dipole trap and the magnetic gradient.

\[ \omega_{\text{ext}} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2 m d^2}. \]

For the experimental data presented in Fig. 1, we used an initial shift of \( d/4 \) (i.e., \( \theta_0 = 45^\circ \)) and repeated the sequence for various holding times in the shifted lattice. In this way, we access the dynamics of the BEC inside the lattice. After \( \sim 25 \) \( \mu \)s of evolution in the lattice, the atoms return to the bottom of the potential wells, acquiring their maximum momentum in the meantime. This results in a shift of the maximum peak towards the right (see point \( B \) in Fig. 1). Atoms then evolve towards the opposite turning point that is reached at \( C \). A quarter of period later, we observe two peaks \( (D_1 \text{ and } D_2) \) and not just one, revealing in momentum space the splitting of the wave packet that occurred at \( C \): a part of the wave packet \( (D_1) \) continued its oscillatory motion and another part of the wave packet \( (D_2) \) tunneled through the barrier and kept as a consequence its positive momentum. The potential barrier therefore acts as a beam splitter. Interestingly, the second interaction with the beam splitter that occurs at the next turning point \( (E) \) generates, by constructive interference, only one packet with a negative momentum.

We start by investigating the oscillatory motion inside the lattice. The dipole motion of a condensate in an optical lattice has been studied experimentally in Refs. [29–31] for an initial displacement of the condensate by a few tens of micrometers, i.e., more than its size, and in the low depth limit \( s < 1.2 \) (with our notation). To compare the oscillations that result from such a large displacement, a hydrodynamic formalism was used, which substitutes a continuum formulation to the discretized formulation involving the wave functions in each site [32,33]. The frequency of the dipole mode in this model is given by \( \omega_{\text{dip}} = (m/m^*)^{1/2} \omega_{\text{ext}} \), where \( m^* \) is the effective mass, a quantity that was extracted from the experimental data [29]. In such experiments, the micromotion of the wave packets inside each lattice site does not play any role on the center-of-mass motion. This is to be contrasted with the case studied in this Letter for which we have a small displacement (a few tens of nanometers) and a deeper optical potential \( (s \sim 3.2) \). Under those conditions, the micromotion plays a major role and the continuous approach of Ref. [32] is no more adapted. Furthermore, the quantum dynamics that takes place inside each well cannot be mapped on the classical dynamics [34]. Indeed, despite the relatively large lattice depth, the tunnel effect still plays an important role on the out-of-equilibrium dynamics that we investigate. For an initial shift of \( d/4 \), it increases the oscillation period compared to the classical case (see Fig. 2).

We investigated quantitatively the extra inertia provided by the lattice using numerical simulations of the 1D Gross-Pitaevskii equation [35]. For this purpose, we solve the dimensionless equation \( i \partial_t \psi = \left( -\Delta + \tilde{\omega}_{\text{ext}}^2 X^2 \right) / 2 - \gamma \cos^2(\pi X / 4 + \varphi) + \beta |\psi|^2 \psi \), where the time is normalized to \( \bar{t} = \tilde{\omega} t \) with \( \tilde{\omega}^{-1} = m d^2 / (16 \hbar) = 24.3 \) \( \mu \)s for our parameters, \( \tilde{\omega}_{\text{ext}} = \omega_{\text{ext}} / \tilde{\omega} \), and \( \gamma = \pi^2 s / 8 \). The precise value of the interaction strength \( \beta \) requires a model for the effective reduction of dimension [36] and a precise knowledge of the number of atoms per site, which differs from site to site because of the external confinement. Assuming a transverse Thomas-Fermi profile, we find \( \beta \lesssim 1 \). The dipole oscillation period \( \bar{T} \) normalized to \( \tilde{\omega}^{-1} \) is plotted in

![FIG. 1. (a) Time sequence showing the evolution of the wave packet in the lattice after a sudden displacement (5 \( \mu \)s time interval between each picture). The data presented are averaged over two iterations. The images are taken after a 25 ms time of flight. (b) Sketch of the center-of-mass motion of the packets in each well. Their splitting by tunneling at the turning points is represented as dashed lines.](image-url)
cases and to a higher energy [see Fig. 3(b)].
Another consequence of the collective nature of the dipole oscillation is the quasi-independence of the oscillation period on the initial angle \( \theta_0 \). This is to be contrasted with the classical prediction where the nonharmonicities of the wells yield an oscillation period that strongly depends on the initial angle. Furthermore, the BEC being put in a far out-of-equilibrium state by the initial sudden displacement, we numerically checked that its relaxation towards equilibrium does not modify the oscillation dynamics studied above: the relaxation occurs on a time scale of a few milliseconds, thus much larger than the oscillation period.

Let us analyze further the experimental time sequence of Fig. 1. We observe that the centers of the packets \( D_1 \) and \( D_2 \) do not coincide in time. The packet \( D_2 \) that has tunneled through the potential barrier is delayed with respect to \( D_1 \). The independence of the period on the initial angle \( \theta_0 \) ensures that the time delay that we measure is not affected by anharmonicities. The analysis in momentum space facilitates the precise measurement of the time delay since the two packets that split on the barrier acquire an opposite shift angle, the thickness of the potential barrier at the turning point decreases and the turning point corresponds to a higher energy [see Fig. 3(b)]. To extract the time delay between the wave packets, we determine the maximum of the density distributions of the \( D_1 \) and \( D_2 \) wave packets (see the inset of Fig. 3) using as a guide a Gaussian fit of the density distributions. For \( \theta_0 \gtrsim 60^\circ \), the delay cannot be measured precisely because of the small thickness of the barrier, which yields a partial overlap of packets \( B \) and \( D_2 \).

The numerical simulations are in very good agreement with the experimental data without any adjustable parameter once the calibration has been carefully performed [see Fig. 3(c)]. The error bars on the numerical simulations accommodates for two bound states [37]. An independent calibration based on Kapitza-Dirac diffraction has been performed on a large range of lattice depths and is in perfect agreement with our method that combines time period measurement and numerical simulation (see Ref. [37]).

Another consequence of the collective nature of the dipole oscillation is the quasi-independence of the oscillation period on the initial angle \( \theta_0 \) (see Ref. [37]). This is illustrated in Fig. 2(a) where we compare for different initial angles \( \theta_0 \) the period measured experimentally, the result of the numerical simulation in the same condition as in the experiment and with the calibration explained above, and the classical prediction. Within the error bars, the oscillation period of the dipole mode is constant in the wide range of angle that we studied (30° ≤ \( \theta_0 \) ≤ 80°), an observation confirmed by numerical simulations (see Ref. [37]). This is to be contrasted with the classical prediction where the nonharmonicities of the wells yield an oscillation period that strongly depends on the initial angle. Furthermore, the BEC being put in a far out-of-equilibrium state by the initial sudden displacement, we numerically checked that its relaxation towards equilibrium does not modify the oscillation dynamics studied above: the relaxation occurs on a time scale of a few milliseconds, thus much larger than the oscillation period.

Let us analyze further the experimental time sequence of Fig. 1. We observe that the centers of the packets \( D_1 \) and \( D_2 \) do not coincide in time. The packet \( D_2 \) that has tunneled through the potential barrier is delayed with respect to \( D_1 \). The independence of the period on the initial angle \( \theta_0 \) ensures that the time delay that we measure is not affected by anharmonicities. The analysis in momentum space facilitates the precise measurement of the time delay since the two packets that split on the barrier acquire an opposite shift angle, the thickness of the potential barrier at the turning point decreases and the turning point corresponds to a higher energy [see Fig. 3(b)]. To extract the time delay between the wave packets, we determine the maximum of the density distributions of the \( D_1 \) and \( D_2 \) wave packets (see the inset of Fig. 3) using as a guide a Gaussian fit of the density distributions. For \( \theta_0 \gtrsim 60^\circ \), the delay cannot be measured precisely because of the small thickness of the barrier, which yields a partial overlap of packets \( B \) and \( D_2 \).

The numerical simulations are in very good agreement with the experimental data without any adjustable parameter once the calibration has been carefully performed [see Fig. 3(c)]. The error bars on the numerical simulations
This counterintuitive behavior results from a well-known prediction; one would expect the packet to travel in a straight line, but indeed, in the absence of tunneling (classical interactions), we observe that the packet has a momentum opposed to the entrance. Such a behavior may appear surprising at first sight: indeed, in the absence of tunneling (classical interactions), one would expect the packet to have exactly the opposite momentum compared to what we observe. This counterintuitive behavior results from a well-known interference effect occurring in a Mach-Zehnder interferometer (MZI) with symmetric beam paths and 50:50 beam splitters: the exit channel is the opposite to the entrance channel. The packet of atoms in B has positive momentum components centered about $h/d$. We define this state as $|B\rangle = |p_0\rangle$. The potential barrier at the turning point $C$ plays the role of a coherent beam splitter:  

$$|D\rangle = \cos \varphi |p_0\rangle + i \sin \varphi (\cos \varphi - p_0) + i \sin \varphi (\cos \varphi - p_0) = \cos \varphi |p_0\rangle + i \sin \varphi (\cos \varphi - p_0),$$

The confinement driving the subsequent evolution plays the role of mirrors. At the turning point $E$ the two packets that have been split are recombined on a second beam splitter. The result of this recombination is read-out in momentum space in $F$:  

$$|F\rangle = \langle \cos \varphi |p_0\rangle + i \sin \varphi (\cos \varphi - p_0) \rangle \cos \varphi + i \sin \varphi (\cos \varphi - p_0) + i \sin \varphi (\cos \varphi - p_0) = \cos 2\varphi |p_0\rangle + i \sin 2\varphi |p_0\rangle.$$  

We infer that the momentum in $F$ is the exact opposite to that in $B$ for a perfect 50:50 beam splitter ($\varphi = \pi/4$).

In our lattice configuration, the interfering packets originate from two adjacent sites [see Fig. 3(b)]. We therefore have multiple MZIs working simultaneously and addressing only the external degree of freedom. We analyze the exit of the interferometers by interference in momentum space. The constructive interference observed in Fig. 1 is thus due to the persistence of the global coherence of the BEC on the dipole micromotion period time scale. We numerically checked that this interference effect is immune to interactions. It however depends on the lattice depth, which changes the ratio between the tunneled and the reflected parts of the wave packet, and therefore the population that ends up with the same momentum as in the entrance channel $B$. The constructive interference observed in Fig. 1 corresponds to a ratio $\Pi_{-1}/(\Pi_1 + \Pi_{-1}) = 91\%$, where $\Pi_\nu$ is the number of atoms with momentum $nh/d$ (corresponding to $\varphi = \pi/5$). Finally, after an extra quarter of a period, the atoms from $F$ are back in the initial state $A$. Therefore, when increasing the evolution time in the shifted lattice, the dynamics shown in Fig. 1 repeats again and the sensitivity of the coupled chain of MZIs increases.

In conclusion, we have performed a direct measurement of the tunneling delay time through the barriers of an optical lattice by studying the time evolution of a BEC after a sudden displacement of the lattice. The excitation of the BEC by a displacement of a few tens of nanometers provides a robust method to calibrate the lattice depth independently of the external potential, the interactions, and the value of the displacement. As a perspective, this experiment offers the possibility of controlling the tunneling delay time with time-dependent barriers in close analogy with the Landauer problem [38]. Additionally, we have observed the constructive interference in a MZI provided by two successive interactions with the barriers acting as a beam splitter. Such a micron-size interferometer could be of interest to measure locally the short-range force at the vicinity of a surface [42–46].
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[27] \(I(t) = I_0[(k + 1)/(t + 1)] - (k/(t + 1)^{3/2})\) with \(\tau = 11\,\text{ms}\) and \(k = 11\).
[34] According to classical mechanics, the oscillation period \(T_{osc}\) in the lattice wells depends on the initial shift angle \(\theta_0\): 
\[ T_{osc}(\theta_0) = \left(\frac{2md^2}{\hbar}\right)^{1/2} f(\theta_0) \quad \text{with} \quad f(\theta_0) = \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} \int_0^{\theta_0} \cos^2 \theta - \cos^2 \theta_0 \, d\theta \]
\(T_{osc}\) is marginally affected by the external confinement since \(\omega_{ext} \ll \hbar/(md^2)\).
[37] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.010401, which includes Refs. [38–41], for a band analysis of the experimental situation, numerical results on the observed quasi-isochronism oscillations, detail on lattice depth calibration, and an overview of the different parameter ranges.